



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,466	02/25/2002	Tetsuya Okumura	57090 (70904) 4306	
21874 75	590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. BOX 55874			PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2653	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/082,46		OKUMURA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Aristotelis		2653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE   - External after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 0) days, a reply within the state stutory period will apply and within, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 25 February 200	04.				
		2b)⊠ This action is n					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers	·					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/25/04.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/082,466

Art Unit: 2653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS of 2/25/02 has been received and made of record.

## Claim Objections

Claims 13-18 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The parent claim of these dependent claims limits itself to the measuring of the short (2T pulse). However, these claims further required a long pulse, and hence no longer limit the parent claim. This is considered an improper broadening of the scope of the parent claim. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/082,466

Art Unit: 2653

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

 Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

In the below analysis the limitations of the following claims are identical:

- a) claims 3 and 4; identification of the short control mark as the 2T mark, pattern of mT, 2T,2T, nT
- b) claims 5 and 6: identification of m=n =2
- c) claims 7-12: controlling means based on the measured reproduced signal characteristic
- d) claims 13-18: ratio of long and short control marks.
- 1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the acknowledged prior art further considered with Tanaka et al.

Applicants' depiction of the acknowledged prior art hardware (figure 5) is greatly appreciated. Further positive identification of such, if readily available is respectfully requested to complete the search report. In any event, this prior art depicts a laser power control system, which relies upon a ration of long and short pulse comparisons in order to maintain the laser power. This acknowledged prior art hence discloses the limitations of the claims, with the exception of requiring/limiting the measuring of the short reproducing control mark, identified as 2T, and a pattern of 5 of these pulses.

The ability of measuring the reproduced control mark to that of the 2T mark is taught by the Tanaka et al system – see the discussion with respect to figure 10, starting at col. 9 lines 15 plus.

It would have been obvious to modify the base system of the acknowledged prior art and modify it with the above noted teaching from Tanaka et al, motivation is to compensate for the thermal-shift pattern. Motivation is to so compensate.

With respect to the limitations of the above group a & b claims, the figure depicts a pattern of 2T pulses, at least 5 and hence meets these claims.

With respect to the limitations of the above group c & d claims, these are found in the acknowledged prior art – description of the power control accordingly as well as the ratio between a long and short pulse.

Hence no further modification of the acknowledged prior art is necessary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aristotelis M Psitos whose telephone number is (703) 308-1598. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thursday 8 - 4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on (703) 305-6137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aristotelis M Psitos Primary Examiner Art Unit 2653

**AMP**